

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,875		01/16/2004	Michael L. Weiner	034405-013	6570
21839	7590	04/11/2006	EXAMINER		
		ERSOLL PC	BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE		
POST OFFI		IS, DOANE, SWECK 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND!	RIA, VA	22313-1404	1637		

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>A</i>	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/758,875		WEINER ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
		A	Angela Bertagna		1637					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appea	rs on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. tutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COL a). In no event, however apply and will expire Soluse the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from the	ely filed ne mailing date of this co					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .								
' ===			ction is non-fina	l.						
3)	Since this application is in condition to	for allowance	e except for forr	nal matters, pros	secution as to the	merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims			•						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or ele	ction requireme	ent.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exan	niner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen			" .	. .	DTO 445'					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		nterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or l r No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) 🔲 N		tent Application (PTC	D-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8 and 32-46, drawn to a hybrid nucleic acid assembly, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 9-31 and 47-63, drawn to a hybrid amino acid assembly, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation and effects. The invention of Group I is a nucleic acid-based detection assembly and functions to report on the properties of the nucleic acid in response to introduced energy, whereas the invention of Group II is an amino acid-based detection device that functions to report on the properties of the amino acid in response to introduced energy.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Specifically, a search for the

detection device of Group I would require terms directed to nucleic acid-based assemblies and would not require additional search terms directed to the amino acid-based detection device of Group II.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/758,875 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Bertagna whose telephone number is (571) 272-8291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Bertagna Patent Examiner Art Unit 1637

amb

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/4/06